EDITOR'S NOTE

THE FOLLOWING PAGES WERE POOR HARD COPY AT THE TIME OF FILMING. IF AND WHEN A BETTER COPY CAN BE OBTAINED, A NEW FICHE WILL BE ISSUED.

FILED
JUN 17 1998
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

No. 97-1778

In The
Supreme Court of the United States
October Term, 1997

IVAN SAWCHYN

Petitioners,

v.

SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE CO., et al,

Respondents.

PETITIONER'S SUPPLEMENT TO HIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN CASE 97-1778

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Ivan Sawchyn, Pro Se
Counsel of Record

14410 Uhlin Drive
Middleburg Hts., Ohio 44130
(440) 842-8640
Fax: (440) 888-4666

PETITIONER'S SUPPLEMENT TO WRITE FOR
CERTIAORI ON CASE 97-1778

The Petitioner in his supplement has no alternative but to expose and criticize very emphatically and unambiguously the Corruption of attoneys and Judges in the State Muni and Common Pleas Courts and especially the U.S. District Courts and U.S. Circuit Courts.

The Petitioner proved with written evidence the corrupt criminal collusion by licensed Ohio attorneys Peter Hull and all the Ward & Associate defense attorneys,eppecially an Alan E.Johnson & Leo Ward who had a corrupt administrator of Honest and impartial Judge James J. Sweeney's, whose name is Greg Popovich arrange to take this case away from a hearing Judge Sweeney had directed to hear this case whose name is Judge Robert E. Feighan who Judge James J. Sweeney recognized as an impartial and honest man in a clandestine tricky manner at the obvious request of Peter Hull who the Petioner was informed by an attorney who previously handled a

matter for him and who refused to get involved in a case against a judge as did 6 other attorneys the Petitioner contacted and so Petitioner had no alternative but to handle this pro-se. One of the attorneys was frank enough to say, "an attorney handling a case against a judge is refered to as a CRREER ENDING CASE". The attorney Petioner initially asked frankly said " Peter Hull and Judge Frank J. Gorman are very good friends, so expect a judgement against you". This hearing was an absolute sham. Petitioner ultimately got Ohio attorney Peter Onysko to represent him in this 5 day sham "hearing" who literally betrayed him by answering a question by Judge Gorman as to whether Petioner's case was "Frivolous" by saying "Well it was not according to law". This was in the court reporter's transcript introduced as evidence". See Page App. 15 which is a letter from attorney Onysko to Sawchyn's attorney Paul E. Meyer in another case with a different judge in which Onysko admits making an Ex-parte call on Judge Gorman whom he has known for 50 years, even before Gorman became a judge and with whom he was on First name terms. He admits that he and Gorman

made a deal where by for $4000 paid to Onysko would result in the voiding of two $10,000 fines against Petioner which were illegal to begin with as they were assesed 60 to 90 days after a notice of appeal was filed but apparantly petioner's attorney Paul E. Meyer didn't know that so he bargained this down to $3750 and suggested paying it which made sense to pay $3750 instead of $20,000. Petitoner introduced as evidence this unambiguous evidence of a bribe or the sale of justice whereby for the payment of money, a judge would void a judgement. The fact that Onysko and Judge Gorman are 50 year acquaintances infers by evidence rule 301 and common sense that Gorman cut a cut of this by making his journal entry which was given to attorney Meyer at the same time that Meyer handed a $3750 bank check to Onysko. A copy of this endorsed ~~my~~ check by Onysko was presented as evidence in District Court case 1:96 CV 2229 conducted by Judge John M. Manos who for some 12 or more years was both a Common Pleas Court Judge and an 8th Appellate Court Judge here in Cuyahoga County, Ohio, and who was very well acquainted with both Judge Frank J. Gorman and attorney Peter Onykso.

The Petioner is confidant that the U.S. Supreme Court is fully aware of the obvious collusion and lack of impartiality and prejudice aginast the Petioner and respectfully asks that the U.S. Supreme Court direct the remand to the District Court and order the granting of Petioner's prayer for relief or in the alternative direct that this case be heard by a Jury as demanded on the complaint by the petioner.

District Judge John M. Manos unambigously engaged in corrupt conduct, contrary to the exemplary conduct any litigant in an American Court has a right to expect.

WHEREFORE: Petioner prays that the Supreme Court direct his prayer for damages be granted or in the alternative direct the District Court to conduct a trial by jury as demanded on the complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

SERVICE: 3 copies were mailed to all Defense attorneys for the Defendants.

IVAN SAWCHYN, Pro Se
PETITIONER-APPELLANT
14410 UHLIN DRIVE
MIDDLEBURG HEIGHTS, OHIO 44130
440/842-8640